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Energetics and structures of small aluminum-lithium clusters were investigated using structure-minimization and dynamic simulated annealing on the electronic Born-Oppenheimer surface, calculated via the local-spin-density-functional method in conjunction with nonlocal pseudopotentials. It is suggested that evolutionary patterns of electronic structure, energetics, and binding in AlLiₙ clusters may be analyzed within a framework where atomic-based characteristics, associated mainly with closing of the Al 3p shell, dominate for n ≤ 5, while a perturbed delocalized electronic cluster-shell pattern, containing an AlLi₃ “core,” develops for larger clusters (i.e., for n ≥ 6). The ground-state electronic and geometrical structures of AlLiₙ clusters, as well as those of Al₂Li₁₀ which may be viewed as two slightly distorted AlLi₃ units bonded to each other, are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Size-dependent evolutionary patterns of energetics, thermodynamics, stability (abundance), spectroscopy, collective excitations, structure, reactivity, and fission barriers and dynamics of metal clusters are currently the subject of intensive experimental and theoretical investigations.¹ Many of these studies, particularly for small and medium size free-electron metal clusters, were analyzed in the framework of the cluster electronic shell model (CSM), developed first in the context of the spherical jellium model,² where the valence electrons contributed by the constituent atoms are regarded as delocalized (effectively screening the ionic potentials) over a homogeneously distributed positive background. The CSM, which was extended²⁺⁺⁺⁻ to account for deformations from spherical symmetry and emerges also in calculations where the discreteness of the ions is maintained,⁴⁻⁻⁻⁻ introduces an electronic shell structure, where nₑ electrons \( n_e = n_A Z_A \), where Z_A is the atomic valency and n_A the number of atoms in the cluster fill the orbitals \( |n\ell\rangle \) according to the pattern (for a homonuclear cluster) \( 1s^2|1p^6|1d^{10}2s^2|1f^{14}|2p^6| \ldots \) , where the vertical bars indicate the largest shell-closing discontinuities at \( n_e^* = 2, 8, 20, 34, 40, \ldots \) electrons. Accordingly, shell closing at the above “magic numbers” would be reflected in drops in the ionization potentials (IP) and atomic binding energies (and thus abundance in the mass spectrum) upon crossing a shell-closing boundary.

The electronic shell structure of metal clusters has been observed experimentally and theoretically calculated and analyzed for elemental clusters [mainly for alkali, alkaline-earth, and group IIIa (boron and aluminum) metals], as well as for some mixtures of alkali and alkali/alkaline-earth metals.²⁺⁺⁺⁻ For heteroclusters one of the central questions is the extent to which the CSM picture is preserved in such systems.⁷ Since the CSM implies delocalization of the electrons over the ion cores (and consequently relative insensitivity to geometrical structure), one may expect deviations from the patterns predicted via CSM whenever localized bonds and/or large structure-sensitive crystal-field splittings may occur.

In this communication we show that while in heteroclusters composed of alkali metals and those made of alkali and closed-shell alkaline-earth metals, behavior according to the CSM is observed⁴ [with possible reordering of the levels,⁸,⁹ the physical picture for alkali clusters containing an open-shell heteroatom (e.g., AlLi₃) may be different⁹⁻⁻⁻⁻¹¹]. Our results¹⁰ indicate that the nature of bonding in AlLiₙ clusters may be viewed as changing from a localized atomic (or molecular)-like one for \( n ≤ 5 \) to a perturbed delocalized one for \( n > 5 \), containing an AlLi₃ “core.” Furthermore, the equilibrium state of the Al₂Li₁₀ cluster may be described as composed of two AlLi₃ units bound to each other. In Sec. II we provide pertinent calculational details, and our results are given in Sec. III.

II. METHOD

The method used in our investigation consists of electronic structure calculations of the ground-state Born-Oppenheimer (BO) potential energy of the system via a self-consistent solution of the local-spin-density (LSD)-functional Kohn-Sham (KS) equations, coupled with classical molecular dynamics (MD) of the ions (BO-LSD-MD method)⁶ see Ref. 12 for a detailed description of the method). Norm-conserving ionic pseudopotentials constructed according to the procedure in Ref. 13 are used in our calculations with the p component taken as local, and s-component nonlocality is treated via the Kleinman-Bylander construction¹⁴ performed in real space.¹² The operations of the Hamiltonian on the wave functions are performed using a dual-space method (i.e., fast Fourier transforms are used to calculate the action of the kinetic-energy operator in momentum space). In solving the KS-LSD equations (using an iterative matrix diagonalization method¹⁵) the spin degrees of freedom are unrestricted. In our method the ionic system is not replicated (that is, no supercell is used) and large calculational grids were taken to assure convergence of the plane-wave basis set (typically a cutoff of the plane-wave kinetic energy of 15
Ry was used).

The optimal structures and corresponding energies which we present were determined for the smaller clusters (e.g., AlLiₙ, n ≤ 5) via steepest-descent-like minimization starting from several judiciously chosen structures, and by dynamic simulated annealing (DSA) for the larger ones (in the DSA simulations the clusters were heated to ~1300 K and then cooled, via inclusion of a damping term in the ionic equations of motion, at an average rate of 10¹⁴ K/s). The classical equations of motion were integrated using a fifth-order Gear predictor-corrector algorithm, with a time step of 5 × 10⁻¹⁵ s.

III. RESULTS

Calculated ground-state structures of AlLiₙ (1 ≤ n ≤ 8) clusters and of AlLi₇, are shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), respectively. We observe first that while for homonuclear Liₙ clusters two-dimensional ground-state clusters prevail up to n ≤ 6 (see Ref. 4), for AlLiₙ clusters the equilibrium structures are three dimensional for n ≥ 3. We also note that for n ≤ 5 the aluminum atom does not occupy an internal position, while for larger clusters it is internally located, surrounded by Li atoms although not necessarily at equal distances from all of them. The calculated equilibrium internuclear bond length is 5.35±0.02 a.u. in the AlLi molecule, and the average Al-Li distance between the Al atom and the nearest Li atoms decreases upon addition of lithiums to the cluster (see Table I).

The atomization energies (Eₐ/n), relative stability ΔEₐ=E(AlLi₇)−E(AlLi₆−₁)−E(Li), vertical ionization potentials IP=E(AlLiₙ)−E(AlLiₙ−₁), and spin multiplicities (2S+1) for the parent neutral and ionic clusters are given in Table II, and the corresponding valence-electron KS energy levels (for the neutrals) are shown in Fig. 2. First we note (Table I) the monotonic increase in both Eₐ/n and −ΔEₐ for 1 ≤ n ≤ 5 which drop at n = 6 (more pronounced in ΔEₐ), indicating unique stability of the 8-valence electron AlLi₇ cluster. The ionization potentials for 1 ≤ n ≤ 5 exhibit only a mild variation (note the absence of a clear odd-even pattern), also decreasing sharply for n > 5.

On first sight one may conclude that the above trends indicate electronic cluster-shell closing at AlLi₈ (8-valence electrons) in accord with the CSM. However, inspection of the energy-level diagram (Fig. 2) shows that the lowest eigenvalue (originating from the Al 3s level) is well separated from the higher lying ones. This by itself is not contradictory to the CSM prediction, since the opening of a large gap between the s and p manifolds may

TABLE I. Al-Li distances d(Al-Li) in the equilibrium structures of AlLiₙ clusters. In case of several equal Al-Li distances, the number of such Al-Li pairs appears as a multiplicative integer in front of the corresponding distance. All distances are in a.u. with an estimated accuracy of ±0.02 a.u. In the third column the symmetry of the structure is given. Clusters which distort from the ideal symmetric structure are indicated by an asterisk. For AlLi₇ the calculated high-spin (see Table II) ground-state structure is slightly distorted from the indicated ideal C₃ᵥ symmetry, with two of the (Al-Li) distances taking the value 4.95 a.u. and the third one a value of 5.06 a.u. For AlLi₄ we give the (Al-Li) distances calculated for the cluster constrained to D₄d symmetry. However the structure obtained via simulated annealing (with no constraint on the symmetry) is distorted from the ideal D₄d symmetry with the energy lowered by 0.2 eV with respect to the symmetric structure. For AlLi₄, four d(Al-Li) distances are given; with reference to the structure of the cluster given in Fig. 1(a), the first distance is to the Li atom at the upper left corner, the next is to the other two Li atoms at the upper face, the third is to the two Li atoms at the bottom face (left), and the last is to the two other Li atoms at the bottom face. The structure of AlLi₇ resembles that of AlLi₈ (see Fig. 1) with one Li atom missing on the upper face.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>d(Al-Li)</th>
<th>Symmetry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>C₃ᵥ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(2×5.22)</td>
<td>C₃ᵥ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(3×4.98)</td>
<td>C₃ᵥ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(2×4.82, 2×4.89)</td>
<td>C₃ᵥ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(4×4.74, 5.13)</td>
<td>C₃ᵥ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(6×4.58)</td>
<td>O₅h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(4.70, 2×4.85, 2×4.74, 2×4.81)</td>
<td>C₃h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(8×4.82)</td>
<td>D₄d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 1. (a) Ground-state structures of AlLiₙ (1 ≤ n ≤ 8) clusters. For structural parameters, see Table I. Large and small spheres represent Li and Al atoms, respectively. (b) Ground-state structure of AlLi₇. The structure is of C₃ᵥ symmetry. Large spheres represent Li atoms, and the small sphere corresponds to the Al atom.
be attributed to the strong attraction by the Al\(^{+3}\) ion. However, the electronic distributions of the lowest and, in particular, the highest occupied eigenvalues in AI\(Li_{15}\), which are of s and p character (see Ref. 15, and caption to Fig. 3), do not show a delocalized character, with the electronic charge excluding the regions about the lithiums [see Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), top row]. Additionally, bonding in the cluster is covalent in nature, characterized by charge accumulation in the regions connecting the Al and Li ions [Fig. 3(b), top].

One may consider an alternative electron-counting scheme, where only the electron in the 3p level of the aluminum atom participates in the electronic shell structure of the cluster. However, the consequent prediction that AI\(Li_{15}\) is a “magic number” cluster is not supported by the pattern of binding energies and ionization potentials (Table II). The above, coupled with the absence of a marked gap between the highest occupied orbitals of AI\(Li_{17}\) and AI\(Li_{18}\), which would have constituted a signature of shell closing in AI\(Li_{17}\), and the separation in AI\(Li_{17}\) between the highest occupied state and the triplet of states below it, reflected also in a delocalized nature of the former [see Fig. 3(d), bottom], leads us to discard this electron-counting scheme as one underlying a CSM behavior. In this context note the marked delocalization of the electronic distribution corresponding to the topmost occupied orbital in AI\(Li_{17}\), shown in Fig. 3(d) (bottom), which is 80\% s and 18\% d (Ref. 15), compared to the markedly localized nature of the p-like orbital (97\% p) corresponding to the second highest occupied state, shown in Fig. 3(c) (bottom).

We suggest that the evolution of the electronic structure in AI\(Li_{n}\) clusters may be conveniently analyzed within a framework where clusters with \(n \leq 5\) are viewed as largely influenced by localized aluminum, rather than delocalized CSM, considerations, and that the characteristics of shell-closing in AI\(Li_{3}\) are associated mainly with closing of the Al 3p electronic manifold, albeit somewhat perturbed by the lithium environment via hybridization, bonding, and crystal-field effects. We further suggest that upon addition of Li atoms to the AI\(Li_{3}\) “core,” a delocalized perturbed CSM pattern evolves with the ordering of the 1d and 2s levels reversed from that corresponding to homonuclear jellium clusters (i.e., for our system the 2s is below the 1d, see Ref. 7). We note, however, the significant degree of 2s-1d mixing due to crystal-field effects. As an illustration we show in Fig. 2 the level scheme for AI\(Li_{17}\) \(\equiv (AI\(Li_{3}\))\(Li_{12}\) [see structure in Fig. 1(b), where the Al occupies an internal but noncentral position], exhibiting the development of a six-level sd shell, which while of delocalized sd character, is perturbed by the lower-lying aluminum-based s and p atomic-like core, to which it must be orthogonal, as well as by crystal-field effects.\(^{16}\)

The above picture of the energetics of AI\(Li_{n}\) clusters and the special role of AI\(Li_{5}\) in it suggests that AI\(n\)\(Li_{15}\) clusters, at least for some values of \(n \geq 2\), may exhibit structures and energetics characteristic of assembly of such clusters from AI\(Li_{3}\) units. This idea is somewhat related to the proposal that certain crystalline materials structures could be assembled from small, stable, cluster units\(^{17}\) (for example, a solid fullerene may be regarded as an assembly of fullerene molecules, e.g., C\(_{60}\) clusters, bonded together via van der Waals interactions\(^{18}\)).

While work pertaining to the above proposal is still in progress we show in Figs. 4 and 5 the ground-state structure and KS energy levels for AI\(Li_{15}\)\(Li_{10}\) determined via DSA (see Sec. II). As seen from Fig. 4 this cluster is made of two slightly distorted AI\(Li_{3}\) units, bonded to each other. This structure possesses a center of inversion (i.e., S\(_{2}\) symmetry) at the midpoint of the Al-Al “bond” (the distance between the two Al atoms in AI\(Li_{15}\)\(Li_{10}\) is 5.67 a.u., compared to 5.18 a.u. in the 3\(\Pi\)\(_{u}\) ground state of the Al\(_{2}\) molecule, whose calculated binding energy is 1.91 eV, in agreement with recent density-functional calculations.

| TABLE II. Energetics of AI\(Li_{n}\) structurally optimized clusters. \(E_{a}/n\) is the atomization energy per number of Li atoms, IP is the vertical ionization potential, \(\Delta E_{a} = E(\text{Al}Li_{n}) - E(\text{Al}Li_{n-1})\), and \((2S + 1)\) is the spin multiplicities for neutral AI\(Li_{n}\) clusters and their ions \((n, i)\), respectively. Energies in eV. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| \(n\) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 17 |
| \(E_{a}/n\) | 1.24 | 1.34 | 1.48 | 1.58 | 1.69 | 1.62 | 1.59 | 1.57 | 1.56 |
| IP | 5.37 | 5.44 | 5.45 | 5.22 | 5.19 | 3.67 | 4.10 | 4.13 | 4.13 |
| \(-\Delta E_{a}\) | 1.24 | 1.45 | 1.74 | 1.91 | 2.11 | 1.29 | 1.59 | 1.46 |
| \((2S + 1)\) | (2,1) | (2,1) | (2,1) | (2,1) | (2,1) | (2,1) | (2,1) | (2,1) | (2,1) |
FIG. 3. Contours of total electronic charge distribution (a), difference between the total distribution in the cluster and the superposition of atomic charge densities [in (b) solid and dashed contours indicate charge accumulation and depletion, respectively], and charge distributions in individual orbitals (c) and (d). Results in the top row are for AlLi₅ and at the bottom row for AlLi₁₀. For AlLi₅, the contours in (c) and (d) correspond to the lowest and highest occupied orbitals of s (94% s, 5% p) and p (7% s, 88% p, 3% d) character, respectively, when the spherical harmonics analysis (Ref. 15) is based on the charge-density center [the corresponding values when the Al atom is taken as the origin are the same for the s-like orbital and (14% s, 75% p, 11% d) for the p-like one]. For AlLi₁₀, the contours in (c) and (d) correspond to the second highest and topmost occupied orbitals, which are of localized p (1% s, 97% p, 1% d) and delocalized s-d (80% s, 1% p, 18% d) character, respectively, when calculated with respect to the centroid of the electronic charge density (very similar results are obtained when the analysis is performed with the Al ion taken as the origin). The structures of the clusters [see Fig. 1(a)] are shown in the insets (large and small spheres corresponding to Al and Li atoms, respectively). The AlLi₅ contours are in the plane containing the Al ion and the three Li ions marked by numbers. The AlLi₁₀ contours are in the plane containing, approximately, the Al ion and the three numbered Li ions. In the insets giving the structures of the clusters [see also Fig. 1(a)] the large sphere represents the Al atom and the smaller spheres correspond to Li atoms. In the contour plots, solid dots indicate the locations of the ions, or their projections on the contour plane.

FIG. 4. Ground-state structure of Al₂Li₁₀. Large dark spheres represent Li atoms, and the two small lighter spheres inside the structure correspond to the Al atoms. The structure can be viewed as composed of two AlLi₅ subunits (left and right of the inclined dividing plane normal to the plane of the figure, indicated by the dashed line) bonded along the square bases of the subunits [compare to Fig. 1(a) where the equilibrium structure of AlLi₅ is given]. In Al₂Li₁₀ the Al atom in each of the AlLi₅ subunits is closer to the four base Li atoms than in the equilibrium structure of AlLi₅, and the capping Li atom in each subunit is displaced from its more symmetrical location in the ground-state structure. The structure is of $S₅$ symmetry, with the center of inversion in the middle of the Al-Al bond.

of Alₙ clusters). The KS eigenvalue spectrum of Al₂Li₁₀ shown in Fig. 5, and the correlation between it and that corresponding to AlLi₅, reflect the nature of bonding in the cluster, which does not follow a conventional cluster-shell-model description (rather, it is reminiscent of bonding between two closed-shell atoms or molecules). We also find that the excess binding energy ($E[Al₂Li₁₀] - 2E[AlLi₅]$),

FIG. 5. Energies (in eV) of Kohn-Sham spin orbitals, obtained via LSD calculations, for the ground-state structures of AlLi₅ and Al₂Li₁₀.
gained by bringing together the two AILi₃ units to form the Al₃Li₁₀ cluster, is 2.66 eV.

IV. SUMMARY

The ground-state electronic and geometrical structures of AILiₙ clusters were investigated using local-spin-density-functional calculations and dynamic simulated annealing. The structures are three dimensional for \(n \geq 3\), and for \(n \leq 5\) the Al atom is located externally (that is, not surrounded by Li atoms), while for \(n \geq 6\) the Al atom occupies an internal position, although not necessarily at the center of the cluster.

Based on analysis of the energetics (see Table II and Fig. 2) and electronic charge distributions for AILiₙ clusters (\(1 \leq n \leq 8\) and \(n = 17\)) we propose that the size evolutionary patterns of the properties of AILiₙ clusters can be described in terms of a scheme where closing of the Al 3p shell dominates for \(n \leq 5\), while a perturbed hybridized and delocalized electronic cluster-shell pattern, containing an AlLi₃ core, develops for larger clusters.

Motivated by our interpretation of the properties of AILiₙ clusters and their size-dependent evolution, we suggest that AlₙLi₅ₙ aggregates (at least for some values of \(n \geq 2\)) may exhibit properties characteristic to an assembly of such clusters from AlLi₃ subunits. Our results for the smallest member of the above sequence, i.e., Al₃Li₁₀ show that the ground-state structure and nature of bonding in this cluster can indeed be correlated with two AlLi₃ units bonded to each other.
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9We are not aware of experimental data on AlLiₙ clusters. For a recent experimental study of AlₙNaₙ for \(n = 2\) to 26 and \(1 \leq m \leq 3\), see A. Nakajima et al., J. Chem. Phys. 95, 7061 (1991).

10Previous calculations for AlLiₙ limited to \(1 \leq n \leq 4\) were performed by B. K. Rao and P. Jena, Phys. Rev. B 37, 2867 (1988). The optimal geometries and energies reported in that paper differ from our results.


13N. Troullier and J. L. Martins, Phys. Rev. B 43, 1993 (1991). The cutoff radii used in the construction of the pseudopotentials are 2.1 a.u. and 2.5 a.u. for \(s\) and \(p\), respectively, in Al; 2.2 a.u. and 2.8 a.u. for \(s\) and \(p\), respectively, in Li.


15The angular momentum character is calculated via analysis of the KS wave functions of the \(l\)th occupied state in spherical harmonics, i.e.,

\[ \psi_{l}(r) = \sum_{\Omega} \phi_{lm}(r) Y_{lm}(\Omega), \]

with the weight of the \((l,m)\) component given by \(w_{lm} = \int [\phi_{lm}(r)]^{2} r dr\). We performed such analyses with the expansion in Eq. (1) centered about the electronic charge center of the AILiₙ clusters or, alternatively, taking the Al atom as the origin.

16For AlLi₁₇ (a 20-valence electron cluster) spherical harmonics analysis of the occupied KS levels yields \(s^{1.96}\) for the \(2e\) in the lowest occupied level and \(s^{0.39}p^{0.71}d^{0.64}\) for the \(6e\) in the next three occupied levels, when based on the Al atom taken at the origin. The centroid of the total electronic charge distribution is displaced relative to the Al ion by 2.7 a.u. We also note that the centroid of the electronic charge density corresponding to the four lowest occupied KS orbitals is displaced by 2.2 a.u. from the aluminum ion while that corresponding to the six highest occupied KS orbitals is located further away from the Al ion at a distance of 3.2 a.u. Analysis of the six highest occupied KS levels, centered about the charge-density centroid of the cluster, yields \(s^{1.29}p^{0.03}d^{0.56}\) for the \(2e\) in the lowest KS orbital in this manifold and a total of \(s^{0.56}p^{0.79}d^{0.18}f^{0.25}\) for the 10e in the next highest five occupied orbitals. These results indicate 3s and 3p levels localized about the Al, and a higher-in-energy delocalized and hybridized sd shell (with the KS orbital energy of the s-like component of the shell lying below that of the d-like component).

